

# DIFFERENTIAL HARNACK INEQUALITIES FOR NONLINEAR HEAT EQUATIONS WITH POTENTIALS UNDER THE RICCI FLOW

JIA-YONG WU

**ABSTRACT.** We prove several differential Harnack inequalities for positive solutions to nonlinear backward heat equations with different potentials coupled with the Ricci flow. We also derive an interpolated Harnack inequality for the nonlinear heat equation under the  $\varepsilon$ -Ricci flow on a closed surface. These new Harnack inequalities extend the previous differential Harnack inequalities for linear heat equations with potentials under the Ricci flow.

## 1. INTRODUCTION AND MAIN RESULTS

**1.1. Background.** The study of differential Harnack estimates for parabolic equations originated with the work of P. Li and S.-T. Yau [31], who first proved a gradient estimate for the heat equation via the maximum principle (though a precursory form of their estimate appeared in [2]). Using their gradient estimate, the same authors derived a classical Harnack inequality by integrating the gradient estimate along space-time paths. This result was generalized to Harnack inequalities for some nonlinear heat-type equations in [42] and for some non-self-adjoint evolution equations in [43]. Recently, J. Li and X. Xu [30] gave sharper local estimates than previous results for the heat equation on Riemannian manifolds with Ricci curvature bounded below. Surprisingly, R. Hamilton employed similar techniques to obtain Harnack inequalities for the Ricci flow [24], and the mean curvature flow [26]. In dimension two, a differential Harnack estimate for the positive scalar curvature was proved in [23], and then extended by B. Chow [13] when the scalar curvature changes sign. Similar techniques were used to obtain the Harnack inequalities for the Gauss curvature flow [14] and the Yamabe flow [15]. H.-D. Cao [4] proved a Harnack inequality for the Kähler-Ricci flow. B. Andrews [1] derived several Harnack inequalities for general curvature flows of hypersurfaces. Chow and Hamilton [18] gave extensions of the Li-Yau Harnack inequality, which they called constrained and linear Harnack inequalities. For more detailed discussion, we refer the interested reader to Chapter 10 of [20].

Hamilton [25] also generalized the Li-Yau Harnack inequality to a matrix Harnack form on a class of Riemannian manifolds with nonnegative sectional curvature. This result was extended to the constrained matrix Harnack inequalities in [18]. H.-D. Cao and L. Ni [5] proved a matrix Harnack estimate for the heat equation on

---

*Date:* August 23, 2012.

*2000 Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 53C44.

*Key words and phrases.* Harnack inequality; Interpolated Harnack inequality; Nonlinear heat equation; Nonlinear backward heat equation; Ricci flow.

This work is partially supported by the NSFC (No.11101267) and the Science and Technology Program of Shanghai Maritime University (No. 20120061).

Kähler manifolds. Chow and Ni [36] proved a matrix Harnack estimate for Kähler-Ricci flow using interpolation techniques from [16].

In another direction, differential Harnack inequalities for (backward) heat-type equations coupled with the Ricci flow have become an important object, which can be traced back to [23]. This subject was further explored by Chow [16], Chow and Hamilton [18], Chow and D. Knopf [19], and H.-B. Cheng [11], etc. Perhaps the most spectacular result is G. Perelman's [37] differential Harnack inequality for the fundamental solution to the backward heat equation coupled with the Ricci flow without any curvature assumption. Perelman's Harnack inequality has many important applications (it is essential in proving pseudolocality theorems), and it has been extended by X. Cao [6] and independently by S.-L. Kuang and Qi S. Zhang [29]. Those authors proved a differential Harnack inequality for all positive solutions to the backward heat equation under the Ricci flow on closed manifolds with nonnegative scalar curvature. X. Cao and Qi S. Zhang [9] have established Gaussian upper and lower bounds for the fundamental solution to the backward heat equation under the Ricci flow.

On the subject of differential Harnack inequalities for the linear heat equation coupled with the Ricci flow, there have been many important contributions; see, for example, [3], [7], [10], [17], [22], [32], [40] and [44].

In recent years there has been increasing interest in the study of the nonlinear heat-type equations coupled with the Ricci flow. A nice example of a nonlinear heat equation, introduced by L. Ma [33], is

$$(1.1) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial t} f = \Delta f - af \ln f - bf,$$

where  $a$  and  $b$  are real constants. Ma first proved a local gradient estimate for positive solutions to the corresponding elliptic equation

$$(1.2) \quad \Delta f - af \ln f - bf = 0$$

on a complete manifold with a fixed metric. Indeed, F. R. K. Chung and S.-T. Yau [21] observed that equation (1.2) is linked with the gross logarithmic Sobolev inequality. They also established a logarithmic Harnack inequality for this equation when  $a < 0$ . Y. Yang [41] derived local gradient estimates for positive solutions to (1.1) on a complete manifold with a fixed metric; see also [12], [28], [38] and [39]. Yang's result has been generalized by L. Ma [34, 35], who obtained Hamilton and new Li-Yau type gradient estimates for the nonlinear heat equation (1.1), and also by S.-Y. Hsu [27], who proved local gradient estimates for the nonlinear heat equation (1.1) under the Ricci flow, similar to the gradient estimates of [41] for the fixed metric case.

We remind the reader that equations (1.1) and (1.2) often appear in geometric evolution equations, and are also closely related to the gradient Ricci solitons. See, for example, [8] and [33] for nice explanations on this subject.

Very recently, X. Cao and Z. Zhang [8] used the argument from [7] to prove an interesting differential Harnack inequality for positive solutions to the forward nonlinear heat equation

$$(1.3) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial t} f = \Delta f - f \ln f + Rf$$

coupled with the Ricci flow equation

$$(1.4) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial t} g_{ij} = -2R_{ij}$$

on a closed manifold. Here the symbol  $\Delta$ ,  $R$  and  $R_{ij}$  are the Laplacian, scalar curvature and Ricci curvature of the metric  $g(t)$  moving under the Ricci flow.

**1.2. Main results.** In this paper, we will be concerned with general time-dependent nonlinear backward heat equations of the type (1.1) with different potentials on closed manifolds under the Ricci flow.

Before studying nonlinear backward heat equations, we first study the nonlinear forward heat equation (1.3) with the metric evolving under the Ricci flow. Suppose  $(M, g(t))$ ,  $t \in [0, T)$ , is a solution to the  $\varepsilon$ -Ricci flow ( $\varepsilon \geq 0$ )

$$(1.5) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial t} g_{ij} = -\varepsilon R g_{ij}$$

on a closed surface. Let  $f$  be a positive solution to the nonlinear forward heat equation with potential  $\varepsilon R$ , that is,

$$(1.6) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial t} f = \Delta f - f \ln f + \varepsilon R f.$$

In this case, we can derive a new differential interpolated Harnack inequality, which is originated with B. Chow [16].

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $(M, g(t))$ ,  $t \in [0, T)$ , be a solution to the  $\varepsilon$ -Ricci flow (1.5) on a closed surface with  $R > 0$ . Let  $f$  be a positive solution to the nonlinear heat equation (1.6),  $u = -\ln f$  and  $H_\varepsilon = \Delta u - \varepsilon R$ . Then for all time  $t \in (0, T)$ ,*

$$H_\varepsilon \leq \frac{1}{t},$$

i.e.,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \ln f - |\nabla \ln f|^2 + \ln f + \frac{1}{t} = \Delta \ln f + \varepsilon R + \frac{1}{t} \geq 0.$$

In Theorem 1.1, if we take  $\varepsilon = 0$ , we can get the following differential Harnack inequality for the nonlinear heat equation on closed surfaces with a fixed metric:

**Corollary 1.2.** *If  $f : M \times [0, T) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , is a positive solution to the nonlinear heat equation*

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} f = \Delta f - f \ln f$$

*on a closed surface  $(M, g)$  with  $R > 0$ , then for all time  $t \in (0, T)$ ,*

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \ln f - |\nabla \ln f|^2 + \ln f + \frac{1}{t} = \Delta \ln f + \frac{1}{t} \geq 0.$$

If we take  $\varepsilon = 1$  in Theorem 1.1, we get:

**Corollary 1.3.** *Let  $(M, g(t))$ ,  $t \in [0, T)$ , be a solution to the Ricci flow on a closed surface with  $R > 0$ . If  $f$  is a positive solution to the nonlinear heat equation (1.3), then for all time  $t \in (0, T)$ ,*

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \ln f - |\nabla \ln f|^2 + \ln f + \frac{1}{t} = \Delta \ln f + R + \frac{1}{t} \geq 0.$$

*Remark 1.4.* X. Cao and Z. Zhang [8] have proved a differential Harnack inequality for equation (1.3) under the Ricci flow on any dimensional manifold. However, on a closed surface, the result of Corollary 1.3 is better than theirs.

*Remark 1.5.* Interestingly, Theorem 1.1 is a nonlinear interpolated Harnack inequality which links Corollary 1.2 to Corollary 1.3.

Secondly, we now consider differential Harnack inequalities for positive solutions to the nonlinear backward heat equation with potential  $2R$ , that is,

$$(1.7) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial t} f = -\Delta f + f \ln f + 2Rf$$

under the Ricci flow. X. Cao and Z. Zhang [8] made nice explanations that the nonlinear forward heat equation (1.3) is closely related to expanding gradient Ricci solitons. Analogously to the argument of Cao and Zhang, our consideration of the Equation (1.7) is motivated by *shrinking* gradient Ricci solitons proposed in [24]. Recall that a shrinking gradient Ricci soliton  $(M, g)$  is defined by the form (see [20])

$$(1.8) \quad R_{ij} + \nabla_i \nabla_j w = cg_{ij},$$

where  $w$  is some Ricci soliton potential and  $c$  is a positive constant. Taking the trace of both sides of (1.8) yields

$$(1.9) \quad R + \Delta w = \text{const.}$$

Using the contracted Bianchi identity, we can easily deduce that

$$(1.10) \quad R - 2cw + |\nabla w|^2 = -\text{const.}$$

From (1.9) and (1.10), we get

$$(1.11) \quad 2|\nabla w|^2 = -\Delta w + |\nabla w|^2 + 2cw - 2R.$$

Recall that the Ricci flow solution for a complete gradient Ricci soliton ([20], Theorem 4.1) is the pullback of  $g$  under  $\varphi(t)$ , up to a scale factor  $c(t)$ :

$$g(t) = c(t) \cdot \varphi(t)^* g,$$

where  $c(t) := -2ct + 1 > 0$  and  $\varphi(t)$  is the 1-parameter family of diffeomorphisms generated by

$$\frac{1}{c(t)} \nabla_g w.$$

Then the corresponding Ricci soliton potential  $\varphi(t)^* w$  satisfies

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \varphi(t)^* w = |\nabla \varphi(t)^* w|^2.$$

Note that along the Ricci flow, (1.11) becomes

$$2|\nabla \varphi(t)^* w|^2 = -\Delta \varphi(t)^* w + |\nabla \varphi(t)^* w|^2 + \frac{2c}{c(t)} \cdot \varphi(t)^* w - 2R.$$

Hence the evolution equation for the Ricci soliton potential  $\varphi(t)^* w$  is

$$2 \frac{\partial \varphi(t)^* w}{\partial t} = -\Delta \varphi(t)^* w + |\nabla \varphi(t)^* w|^2 + \frac{2c}{c(t)} \cdot \varphi(t)^* w - 2R.$$

If we let  $\varphi(t)^* w = -\ln \tilde{f}$ , this equation becomes

$$(1.12) \quad 2 \frac{\partial \tilde{f}}{\partial t} = -\Delta \tilde{f} + 2R\tilde{f} + \frac{2c}{c(t)} \cdot \tilde{f} \ln \tilde{f}.$$

Notice that (1.7) and (1.12) are closely related and only differ by the time scaling and their last terms.

For the nonlinear backward heat equation (1.7) under the Ricci flow, we have:

**Theorem 1.6.** *Let  $(M, g(t))$ ,  $t \in [0, T]$ , be a solution to the Ricci flow on a closed manifold. Let  $f$  be a positive solution to the nonlinear backward heat equation (1.7),  $u = -\ln f$ ,  $\tau = T - t$  and*

$$(1.13) \quad H = 2\Delta u - |\nabla u|^2 + 2R - 2\frac{n}{\tau}.$$

Then for all time  $t \in [0, T]$ ,

$$H \leq \frac{n}{2}.$$

*Remark 1.7.* We can easily see that  $H \leq \frac{n}{2}$  is equivalent to

$$\frac{|\nabla f|^2}{f^2} - 2 \left( \frac{f_\tau}{f} + \ln f + R \right) \leq 2\frac{n}{\tau} + \frac{n}{2}.$$

In [41] (see also [39]), the classical Li-Yau gradient estimate for positive solutions to the nonlinear heat equation (1.1) is

$$\frac{|\nabla f|^2}{f^2} - 2 \left( \frac{f_t}{f} + a \ln f + b \right) \leq 2\frac{n}{t} + na$$

on manifolds with a fixed metric satisfying nonnegative Ricci curvature. Hence our Harnack inequality is similar to the classical Li-Yau gradient estimate for the nonlinear heat equation (1.1).

If we assume instead that our solution to the Ricci flow is defined for  $t \in [0, T)$  (where  $T < \infty$  is the blow-up time) and is of type I, meaning that

$$(1.14) \quad |Rm| \leq \frac{d_0}{T-t}$$

for some constant  $d_0$ , then we can show this:

**Theorem 1.8.** *Let  $(M, g(t))$ ,  $t \in [0, T)$  (where  $T < \infty$  is the blow-up time) be a solution to the Ricci flow on a closed manifold of dimension  $n$ , and assume that  $g$  is of type I, that is, it satisfies (1.14), for some constant  $d_0$ . Let  $f$  be a positive solution to the nonlinear backward heat equation (1.7),  $u = -\ln f$ ,  $\tau = T - t$  and*

$$H = 2\Delta u - |\nabla u|^2 + 2R - d\frac{n}{\tau},$$

where  $d = d(d_0, n) \geq 2$  is some constant such that  $H(\tau) < 0$  for small  $\tau$ . Then, for all time  $t \in [0, T)$ ,

$$H \leq \frac{n}{2}.$$

Thirdly, we consider the nonlinear backward heat equation

$$(1.15) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial t} f = -\Delta f + f \ln f + Rf$$

under the Ricci flow. This equation is very similar to equation (1.7) and only differs by the last potential. We also find that (1.15) can be regarded as the extension of the linear backward heat equation considered by X. Cao (see Theorem 1.3 in [6]), and S.-L. Kuang and Qi S. Zhang (see Theorem 2.1 in [29]). In fact, we only have the additional term :  $f \ln f$  in the linear backward heat equation. For this system, we prove:

**Theorem 1.9.** *Let  $(M, g(t))$ ,  $t \in [0, T]$ , be a solution to the Ricci flow on a closed manifold with nonnegative scalar curvature. Let  $f$  be a positive solution to the nonlinear backward heat equation (1.15),  $u = -\ln f$ ,  $\tau = T - t$  and*

$$H = 2\Delta u - |\nabla u|^2 + R - 2\frac{n}{\tau}.$$

*Then, for all time  $t \in [0, T]$ ,*

$$H \leq \frac{n}{4}.$$

By modifying the Harnack quantity of Theorem 1.9, we can deduce the following differential Harnack inequalities *without* assuming the nonnegativity of  $R$ :

**Theorem 1.10.** *Let  $(M, g(t))$ ,  $t \in [0, T]$ , be a solution to the Ricci flow on a closed manifold of dimension  $n$ . Let  $f$  be a positive solution to the nonlinear backward heat equation (1.15),  $v = -\ln f - \frac{n}{2} \ln(4\pi\tau)$ ,  $\tau = T - t$ , and*

$$P = 2\Delta v - |\nabla v|^2 + R - 3\frac{n}{\tau}.$$

*Then, for all time  $t \in [\frac{T}{2}, T]$ ,*

$$P \leq \frac{n}{4}.$$

*Remark 1.11.* Theorems 1.6-1.10 extend to the nonlinear case Theorems 1.1C1.3 and 3.6 of [6] and Theorem 2.1 of [29].

The proof of all our theorems nearly follows from the arguments of X. Cao [6], X. Cao and R. Hamilton [7], X. Cao and Z. Zhang [8], and S.-L. Kuang and Qi S. Zhang [29], where computations of evolution equations and the maximum principle for parabolic equations are employed. The major differences are that one of our results gives an interpolation Harnack inequality for a nonlinear forward heat equation along the  $\varepsilon$ -Ricci flow on a closed surface, and the others provide differential Harnack estimates for various **nonlinear backward** heat equations under the Ricci flow.

One interesting feature of this paper is that our differential Harnack inequalities are not only like the Perelman's Harnack inequalities, but also similar to the classical Li-Yau Harnack inequalities for the corresponding nonlinear heat equation (see Remark 1.7 above). Another feature of this paper is that our Harnack quantities of nonlinear backward heat equations are nearly the same as those of linear backward heat equations considered by X. Cao [6], and S.-L. Kuang and Qi S. Zhang [29]. Due to the fact that Ricci soliton potentials are linked with some nonlinear backward heat equations, we expect that our differential Harnack inequalities will be useful in understanding the Ricci solitons.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows: In Sect. 2, we will prove a new differential interpolated Harnack inequality on a surface, i.e., Theorem 1.1. In Sect. 3, we firstly derive differential Harnack inequalities for positive solutions to the nonlinear backward heat equation with potential  $2R$  under the Ricci flow (Theorems 1.6 and 1.8). Then a classical integral version of the Harnack inequality will be proved (Theorem 3.2). In the latter part of this section, we will establish Harnack inequalities for another nonlinear backward heat equation with potential  $R$  under the Ricci flow (Theorem 1.9) as well as its classical Harnack version (Theorem 3.4). By modifying the Harnack quantity of Theorem 1.9, we can prove another differential Harnack inequalities without the nonnegative assumption of scalar curvature

(Theorem 1.10). Finally, in Sect. 4, we will prove gradient estimates for positive and bounded solutions to the nonlinear (including backward) heat equation without potentials under the Ricci flow, i.e., Theorems 4.1 and 4.3.

## 2. NONLINEAR HEAT EQUATION WITH POTENTIALS

In this section, we will prove a differential interpolated Harnack inequality for positive solutions to nonlinear forward heat equations with potentials coupled with the  $\varepsilon$ -Ricci flow on a closed surface.

Let  $f$  be a positive solution to the nonlinear forward heat equation (1.6). By the maximum principle, we conclude that the solution will remain positive along the Ricci flow when scalar curvature is positive. If we let

$$u = -\ln f,$$

then  $u$  satisfies the equation

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}u = \Delta u - |\nabla u|^2 - \varepsilon R - u.$$

*Proof of Theorem 1.1.* The proof involves a direct computation and the parabolic maximum principle. Let  $f$  and  $u$  be defined as above. Under the  $\varepsilon$ -Ricci flow (1.5) on a closed surface, we have that

$$\frac{\partial R}{\partial t} = \varepsilon(\Delta R + R^2)$$

and

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\Delta) = \varepsilon R \Delta,$$

where the Laplacian  $\Delta$  is acting on functions. Define the Harnack quantity

$$(2.1) \quad H_\varepsilon = \Delta u - \varepsilon R.$$

Using the evolution equations above, we first compute that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}H_\varepsilon &= \Delta \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t}u \right) + \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\Delta \right) u - \varepsilon \frac{\partial R}{\partial t} \\ &= \Delta (\Delta u - |\nabla u|^2 - \varepsilon R - u) + \varepsilon R \Delta u - \varepsilon \frac{\partial R}{\partial t} \\ &= \Delta H_\varepsilon - \Delta |\nabla u|^2 - \Delta u + \varepsilon R H_\varepsilon + \varepsilon^2 R^2 - \varepsilon \frac{\partial R}{\partial t} \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\Delta |\nabla u|^2 = 2|\nabla \nabla u|^2 + 2\nabla \Delta u \cdot \nabla u + R|\nabla u|^2$$

on a two-dimensional surface, we then have

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} H_\varepsilon &= \Delta H_\varepsilon - 2|\nabla \nabla u|^2 - 2\nabla \Delta u \cdot \nabla u - R|\nabla u|^2 \\
&\quad + \varepsilon R H_\varepsilon + \varepsilon^2 R^2 - \varepsilon \frac{\partial R}{\partial t} - \Delta u \\
&= \Delta H_\varepsilon - 2|\nabla \nabla u|^2 - 2\nabla H_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla u - 2\varepsilon \nabla R \cdot \nabla u \\
&\quad - R|\nabla u|^2 + \varepsilon R H_\varepsilon + \varepsilon^2 R^2 - \varepsilon \frac{\partial R}{\partial t} - \Delta u \\
&= \Delta H_\varepsilon - 2 \left| \nabla_i \nabla_j u - \frac{\varepsilon}{2} R g_{ij} \right|^2 - 2\varepsilon R \Delta u - 2\nabla H_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla u \\
&\quad - 2\varepsilon \nabla R \cdot \nabla u - R|\nabla u|^2 + \varepsilon R H_\varepsilon + 2\varepsilon^2 R^2 - \varepsilon \frac{\partial R}{\partial t} - \Delta u.
\end{aligned}$$

Since  $\Delta u = H_\varepsilon + \varepsilon R$  by (2.1) these equalities become

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} H_\varepsilon &= \Delta H_\varepsilon - 2 \left| \nabla_i \nabla_j u - \frac{\varepsilon}{2} R g_{ij} \right|^2 - \varepsilon R H_\varepsilon - 2\nabla H_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla u \\
&\quad - 2\varepsilon \nabla R \cdot \nabla u - R|\nabla u|^2 - \varepsilon \frac{\partial R}{\partial t} - \Delta u.
\end{aligned}$$

Rearranging terms yields

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.2) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial t} H_\varepsilon &= \Delta H_\varepsilon - 2 \left| \nabla_i \nabla_j u - \frac{\varepsilon}{2} R g_{ij} \right|^2 - 2\nabla H_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla u - \varepsilon R H_\varepsilon \\
&\quad - R|\nabla u + \varepsilon \nabla \ln R|^2 - \varepsilon R \left( \frac{\partial \ln R}{\partial t} - \varepsilon |\nabla \ln R|^2 \right) - \Delta u \\
&\leq \Delta H_\varepsilon - H_\varepsilon^2 - 2\nabla H_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla u - (\varepsilon R + 1) H_\varepsilon + \frac{\varepsilon}{t} R - \varepsilon R.
\end{aligned}$$

The reason for this last inequality is that the trace Harnack inequality for the  $\varepsilon$ -Ricci flow on a closed surface proved in [16] (see also Lemma 2.1 in [40]) states that

$$\frac{\partial \ln R}{\partial t} - \varepsilon |\nabla \ln R|^2 = \varepsilon (\Delta \ln R + R) \geq -\frac{1}{t},$$

since  $g(t)$  has positive scalar curvature. Besides this, we also used (2.1) and the elementary inequality

$$\left| \nabla_i \nabla_j u - \frac{\varepsilon}{2} R g_{ij} \right|^2 \geq \frac{1}{2} (\Delta u - \varepsilon R)^2 = \frac{1}{2} H_\varepsilon^2.$$

Adding  $-\frac{1}{t}$  to  $H_\varepsilon$  in (2.2) yields

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.3) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( H_\varepsilon - \frac{1}{t} \right) &\leq \Delta \left( H_\varepsilon - \frac{1}{t} \right) - 2\nabla \left( H_\varepsilon - \frac{1}{t} \right) \cdot \nabla u \\
&\quad - \left( H_\varepsilon + \frac{1}{t} \right) \left( H_\varepsilon - \frac{1}{t} \right) - (\varepsilon R + 1) \left( H_\varepsilon - \frac{1}{t} \right) - \frac{1}{t} - \varepsilon R.
\end{aligned}$$

Clearly, for  $t$  small enough we have  $H_\varepsilon - 1/t < 0$ . Since  $R > 0$ , applying the maximum principle to the evolution formula (2.3) we conclude  $H_\varepsilon - 1/t \leq 0$  for all time  $t$ , and the proof of this theorem is completed.  $\square$

We remark that Theorem 1.1 can be regarded as a nonlinear version of an interpolated Harnack inequality proved by B. Chow:



**Theorem 2.1** (B. Chow [16]). *Let  $(M, g(t))$  be a solution to the  $\varepsilon$ -Ricci flow (1.5) on a closed surface with  $R > 0$ . If  $f$  is a positive solution to*

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} f = \Delta f + \varepsilon R f,$$

*then*

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \ln f - |\nabla \ln f|^2 + \frac{1}{t} = \Delta \ln f + \varepsilon R + \frac{1}{t} \geq 0.$$

### 3. NONLINEAR BACKWARD HEAT EQUATION WITH POTENTIALS

We next study several differential Harnack inequalities for positive solutions to the nonlinear backward heat equation under the Ricci flow, proving Theorems 1.6, 1.8, 1.9, and 1.10 from the Introduction. The first two of these theorems deal with the case where the potential equals  $2R$ , and the last two with the potential  $R$ . The proofs are largely based on the maximum principle.

- *The potential equals to  $2R$*

Theorems 1.6 and 1.8 deal with differential Harnack inequalities for positive solutions to the equation

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} f = -\Delta f + f \ln f + 2Rf$$

under the Ricci flow. We follow the trick used to prove Theorem 1.1 in [8] to simplify a tedious calculation of the evolution equations. Also the evolution equation of  $u$  in this case is very similar to what is considered in [6]. So we can borrow Cao's computation for the very general setting there to simplify our calculation. The only difference is that we have extra terms coming from the time derivative  $\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} u$ .

*Proof of Theorems 1.6.* As before, it is easy to compute that  $u$  satisfies the following equation

$$(3.1) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} u = \Delta u - |\nabla u|^2 + 2R - u.$$

Let

$$(3.2) \quad H = 2\Delta u - |\nabla u|^2 + 2R - 2\frac{n}{\tau}.$$

Comparing with the equation (2.4) in [6], using (3.1), we have

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} H &= \Delta H - 2\nabla H \cdot \nabla u - \frac{2}{\tau} H - \frac{2}{\tau} |\nabla u|^2 - 2|Rc|^2 \\ &\quad - 2 \left| \nabla_i \nabla_j u + R_{ij} - \frac{1}{\tau} g_{ij} \right|^2 - 2(\Delta u - |\nabla u|^2) \\ &\leq \Delta H - 2\nabla H \cdot \nabla u - \frac{2}{\tau} H - \frac{2}{\tau} |\nabla u|^2 - \frac{2}{n} R^2 \\ &\quad - \frac{2}{n} \left( \Delta u + R - \frac{n}{\tau} \right)^2 - 2(\Delta u - |\nabla u|^2), \end{aligned}$$

where we used the elementary inequality

$$\left| \nabla_i \nabla_j u - R_{ij} - \frac{1}{\tau} g_{ij} \right|^2 \geq \frac{1}{n} \left( \Delta u - R - \frac{n}{\tau} \right)^2.$$

By the definition of  $H$  in (3.2), we also note that

$$-2(\Delta u - |\nabla u|^2) = -2H + 2\left(\Delta u + R - \frac{n}{\tau}\right) + 2R - \frac{2n}{\tau}.$$

Plugging this into (3.3) yields

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} H &\leq \Delta H - 2\nabla H \cdot \nabla u - \left(\frac{2}{\tau} + 2\right) H - \frac{2}{\tau} |\nabla u|^2 - \frac{2}{n} R^2 \\ &\quad - \frac{2}{n} \left(\Delta u + R - \frac{n}{\tau} - \frac{n}{2}\right)^2 + \frac{n}{2} + 2R - \frac{2n}{\tau} \\ &= \Delta H - 2\nabla H \cdot \nabla u - \left(\frac{2}{\tau} + 2\right) H - \frac{2}{\tau} |\nabla u|^2 \\ &\quad - \frac{2}{n} \left(\Delta u + R - \frac{n}{\tau} - \frac{n}{2}\right)^2 - \frac{2}{n} \left(R - \frac{n}{2}\right)^2 - \frac{2n}{\tau} + n. \end{aligned}$$

Adding  $-\frac{n}{2}$  to  $H$ , we have

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} \left(H - \frac{n}{2}\right) &\leq \Delta \left(H - \frac{n}{2}\right) - 2\nabla \left(H - \frac{n}{2}\right) \cdot \nabla u - \left(\frac{2}{\tau} + 2\right) \left(H - \frac{n}{2}\right) \\ &\quad - \frac{2}{\tau} |\nabla u|^2 - \frac{2}{n} \left(\Delta u + R - \frac{n}{\tau} - \frac{n}{2}\right)^2 - \frac{2}{n} \left(R - \frac{n}{2}\right)^2 - \frac{3n}{\tau}. \end{aligned}$$

If  $\tau$  is small enough, we can easily see that  $H - \frac{n}{2} < 0$ . Then applying the maximum principle to the evolution equation (3.4) yields

$$H - \frac{n}{2} \leq 0$$

for all time  $\tau$ , hence for all  $t \in [0, T]$ . This finishes the proof of Theorem 1.6.  $\square$

An easy modification of the preceding proof, using (1.14) to ensure that we can apply the maximum principle as  $\tau \rightarrow 0$ , verifies Theorem 1.8. We omit the details.

*Remark 3.1.* Theorem 1.6 is also true on a complete noncompact Riemannian manifolds, as long as we can apply the maximum principle.

From Theorem 1.6, we can derive a classical Harnack inequality by integrating along a space-time path.

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let  $(M, g(t))$ ,  $t \in [0, T]$ , be a solution to the Ricci flow on a closed manifold of dimension  $n$ . Let  $f$  be a positive solution to the nonlinear backward heat equation (1.7). Assume that  $(x_1, t_1)$  and  $(x_2, t_2)$ ,  $0 \leq t_1 < t_2 < T$ , are two points in  $M \times [0, T]$ . Then we have*

$$e^{t_2} \cdot \ln f(x_2, t_2) - e^{t_1} \cdot \ln f(x_1, t_1) \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} e^{T-t} \left( |\dot{\gamma}|^2 + 2R + \frac{n}{2} + \frac{2n}{T-t} \right) dt,$$

where  $\gamma$  is any space-time path joining  $(x_1, t_1)$  and  $(x_2, t_2)$ .

*Proof.* This is similar to Theorem 2.3 in [6]. we include the proof for completeness. Consider the solutions to

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} u = \Delta u - |\nabla u|^2 + 2R - u.$$

Combining this with

$$H - \frac{n}{2} = 2\Delta u - |\nabla u|^2 + 2R - 2\frac{n}{\tau} - \frac{n}{2} \leq 0,$$

we have

$$2\frac{\partial}{\partial\tau}u + |\nabla u|^2 - 2R - 2\frac{n}{\tau} + 2u - \frac{n}{2} \leq 0.$$

If  $\gamma(x, t)$  is a space-time path joining  $(x_2, \tau_2)$  and  $(x_1, \tau_1)$ , with  $\tau_1 > \tau_2 > 0$ . We have along  $\gamma$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{du}{d\tau} &= \frac{\partial u}{\partial\tau} + \nabla u \cdot \gamma \\ &\leq -\frac{1}{2}|\nabla u|^2 + R + \frac{n}{\tau} - u + \frac{n}{4} + \nabla u \cdot \gamma \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2}\left(|\dot{\gamma}|^2 + 2R + \frac{n}{2}\right) + \frac{n}{\tau} - u, \end{aligned}$$

where in the last step above we used the inequality

$$-\frac{1}{2}|\nabla u|^2 + \nabla u \cdot \gamma - \frac{1}{2}|\dot{\gamma}|^2 \leq 0.$$

Rearranging terms yields

$$\frac{d}{d\tau}(e^\tau \cdot u) \leq \frac{e^\tau}{2} \left( |\dot{\gamma}|^2 + 2R + \frac{n}{2} + \frac{2n}{\tau} \right).$$

Integrating this inequality we obtain

$$e^{\tau_1} \cdot u(x_1, \tau_1) - e^{\tau_2} \cdot u(x_2, \tau_2) \leq \frac{1}{2} \inf_{\gamma} \int_{\tau_2}^{\tau_1} e^\tau \left( |\dot{\gamma}|^2 + 2R + \frac{n}{2} + \frac{2n}{\tau} \right) d\tau,$$

which can be rewritten as

$$e^{t_1} \cdot u(x_1, t_1) - e^{t_2} \cdot u(x_2, t_2) \leq \frac{1}{2} \inf_{\gamma} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} e^{T-t} \left( |\dot{\gamma}|^2 + 2R + \frac{n}{2} + \frac{2n}{T-t} \right) dt.$$

Note that  $u = -\ln f$ . Hence the desired classical Harnack inequality follows.  $\square$

- *The potential equals to  $R$*

We now turn to the equation with potential  $R$ :

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}f = -\Delta f + f \ln f + Rf.$$

Here we need to assume that the initial metric  $g(0)$  has nonnegative scalar curvature. It is well known that this property is preserved by the Ricci flow.

*Proof of Theorem 1.9.* This time  $u$  satisfies

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial\tau}u = \Delta u - |\nabla u|^2 + R - u.$$

Adapting the equation (3.2) of [6], we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial\tau}H &= \Delta H - 2\nabla H \cdot \nabla u - \frac{2}{\tau}H - \frac{2}{\tau}|\nabla u|^2 - 2\frac{R}{\tau} \\ (3.5) \quad &- 2 \left| \nabla_i \nabla_j u + R_{ij} - \frac{1}{\tau}g_{ij} \right|^2 - 2(\Delta u - |\nabla u|^2). \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$H = 2\Delta u - |\nabla u|^2 + R - 2\frac{n}{\tau},$$

which implies

$$-2(\Delta u - |\nabla u|^2) = -2H + 2\left(\Delta u + R - \frac{n}{\tau}\right) - \frac{2n}{\tau}.$$

Plugging this into (3.5), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} H &\leq \Delta H - 2\nabla H \cdot \nabla u - \left(\frac{2}{\tau} + 2\right) H - \frac{2}{\tau} |\nabla u|^2 - 2\frac{R}{\tau} \\
&\quad - \frac{2}{n} \left(\Delta u + R - \frac{n}{\tau}\right)^2 + 2 \left(\Delta u + R - \frac{n}{\tau}\right) - \frac{2n}{\tau} \\
&= \Delta H - 2\nabla H \cdot \nabla u - \left(\frac{2}{\tau} + 2\right) H - \frac{2}{\tau} |\nabla u|^2 - 2\frac{R}{\tau} \\
&\quad - \frac{2}{n} \left(\Delta u + R - \frac{n}{\tau} - \frac{n}{2}\right)^2 - \frac{2n}{\tau} + \frac{n}{2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Adding  $-\frac{n}{4}$  to  $H$  yields

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.6) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} \left(H - \frac{n}{4}\right) &\leq \Delta \left(H - \frac{n}{4}\right) - 2\nabla \left(H - \frac{n}{4}\right) \cdot \nabla u - \left(\frac{2}{\tau} + 2\right) \left(H - \frac{n}{4}\right) \\
&\quad - \frac{2}{\tau} |\nabla u|^2 - 2\frac{R}{\tau} - \frac{2}{n} \left(\Delta u + R - \frac{n}{\tau} - \frac{n}{2}\right)^2 - \frac{5n}{2\tau}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since  $R \geq 0$ , it is easy to see that  $H - \frac{n}{4} < 0$  for  $\tau$  small enough. Applying the maximum principle to the evolution formula (3.6), we have

$$H - \frac{n}{4} \leq 0$$

for all time  $\tau$ , hence for all  $t$ . This finishes the proof of Theorem 1.9.  $\square$

We easily derive counterparts to Theorem 1.8 and Theorem 3.2:

**Theorem 3.3.** *Let  $(M, g(t))$ ,  $t \in [0, T)$  (where  $T < \infty$  is the blow-up time) be a solution to the Ricci flow on a closed manifold of dimension  $n$  with nonnegative scalar curvature, and assume that  $g$  is of type I, that is, it satisfies (1.14), for some constant  $d_0$ . Let  $f$  be a positive solution to the nonlinear backward heat equation (1.15),  $u = -\ln f$ ,  $\tau = T - t$  and*

$$H = 2\Delta u - |\nabla u|^2 + R - d\frac{n}{\tau},$$

where  $d = d(d_0, n) \geq 1$  is some constant such that  $H(\tau) < 0$  for small  $\tau$ . Then, for all time  $t \in [0, T)$ ,

$$H \leq \frac{n}{4}.$$

**Theorem 3.4.** *Let  $(M, g(t))$ ,  $t \in [0, T]$ , be a solution to the Ricci flow on a closed manifold of dimension  $n$  with nonnegative scalar curvature. Let  $f$  be a positive solution to the nonlinear backward heat equation (1.15). Assume that  $(x_1, t_1)$  and  $(x_2, t_2)$ ,  $0 \leq t_1 < t_2 < T$ , are two points in  $M \times [0, T)$ . Then*

$$e^{t_2} \cdot \ln f(x_2, t_2) - e^{t_1} \cdot \ln f(x_1, t_1) \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} e^{T-t} \left( |\dot{\gamma}|^2 + R + \frac{n}{4} + \frac{2n}{T-t} \right) dt,$$

where  $\gamma$  is any space-time path joining  $(x_1, t_1)$  and  $(x_2, t_2)$ .

In the rest of this section, we will finish the proof of Theorem 1.10. The interesting feature of Theorem 1.10 is that the differential Harnack inequalities hold *without* any assumption on the scalar curvature  $R$ .

*Proof of Theorem 1.10.* We first compute that  $v$  satisfies

$$(3.7) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} v = \Delta v - |\nabla v|^2 + R - \frac{n}{2\tau} - \left( v + \frac{n}{2} \ln(4\pi\tau) \right).$$

If we let

$$\tilde{P} := 2\Delta v - |\nabla v|^2 + R - 2\frac{n}{\tau},$$

then by adapting equation (3.7) in [6], we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} \tilde{P} &= \Delta \tilde{P} - 2\nabla \tilde{P} \cdot \nabla v - \frac{2}{\tau} \tilde{P} - \frac{2}{\tau} |\nabla v|^2 - 2\frac{R}{\tau} \\ &\quad - 2 \left| \nabla_i \nabla_j v + R_{ij} - \frac{1}{\tau} g_{ij} \right|^2 - 2(\Delta v - |\nabla v|^2). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $P = \tilde{P} - \frac{n}{\tau}$ , we have

$$(3.8) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} P &= \Delta P - 2\nabla P \cdot \nabla v - \frac{2}{\tau} P - \frac{2}{\tau} |\nabla v|^2 - 2\frac{R}{\tau} - \frac{n}{\tau^2} \\ &\quad - 2 \left| \nabla_i \nabla_j v + R_{ij} - \frac{1}{\tau} g_{ij} \right|^2 - 2(\Delta v - |\nabla v|^2). \end{aligned}$$

According to the definition of  $P$ , we have

$$-2a(\Delta v - |\nabla v|^2) = -2P + 2 \left( \Delta v + R - \frac{n}{\tau} \right) - \frac{4n}{\tau}.$$

Substituting this into (3.8), we get

$$(3.9) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} P &\leq \Delta P - 2\nabla P \cdot \nabla v - \left( \frac{2}{\tau} + 2 \right) P - \frac{2}{\tau} |\nabla v|^2 - 2\frac{R}{\tau} - \frac{n}{\tau^2} \\ &\quad - \frac{2}{n} \left( \Delta v + R - \frac{n}{\tau} \right)^2 + 2 \left( \Delta v + R - \frac{n}{\tau} \right) - \frac{4n}{\tau} \\ &= \Delta P - 2\nabla P \cdot \nabla v - \left( \frac{2}{\tau} + 2 \right) P - \frac{2}{\tau} |\nabla v|^2 - \frac{2}{\tau} \left( R + \frac{n}{2\tau} \right) \\ &\quad - \frac{2}{n} \left( \Delta v + R - \frac{n}{\tau} - \frac{n}{2} \right)^2 - \frac{4n}{\tau} + \frac{n}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that the evolution of scalar curvature under the Ricci flow is

$$\frac{\partial R}{\partial t} = \Delta R + 2|Ric|^2 \geq \Delta R + \frac{2}{n} R^2.$$

Applying the maximum principle to this inequality yields

$$R \geq -\frac{n}{2t}.$$

Since  $t \geq T/2$ , then  $1/t \leq 1/\tau$ . Hence

$$R \geq -\frac{n}{2t} \geq -\frac{n}{2\tau},$$

that is,

$$R + \frac{n}{2\tau} \geq 0.$$

Combining this with (3.9), we have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} P \leq \Delta P - 2\nabla P \cdot \nabla v - \left( \frac{2}{\tau} + 2 \right) P - \frac{4n}{\tau} + \frac{n}{2}.$$

Adding  $-\frac{n}{4}$  to  $P$ , we get  
(3.10)

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} \left( P - \frac{n}{4} \right) \leq \Delta \left( P - \frac{n}{4} \right) - 2\nabla \left( P - \frac{n}{4} \right) \cdot \nabla v - \left( \frac{2}{\tau} + 2 \right) \left( P - \frac{n}{4} \right) - \frac{9n}{2\tau}.$$

It is easy to see that  $P - \frac{n}{4} < 0$  for  $\tau$  small enough. Applying the maximum principle to the evolution formula (3.10) yields

$$P - \frac{n}{4} \leq 0$$

for all time  $t \geq T/2$ . Hence the theorem is completely proved.  $\square$

*Remark 3.5.* Motivated by Theorems 3.3 and 3.4, we can prove similar theorems by the standard argument from Theorem 1.10. We omit them in the interests of brevity.

#### 4. GRADIENT ESTIMATES FOR NONLINEAR (BACKWARD) HEAT EQUATIONS

In this section, on one hand we consider the positive solution  $f(x, t) < 1$  to the nonlinear heat equation without any potential

$$(4.1) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial t} f = \Delta f - f \ln f,$$

with the metric evolved by the Ricci flow (1.4) on a closed manifold  $M$ . This equation has been considered by S.-Y. Hsu [27] and L. Ma [34]. If we let  $u = -\ln f$ , then

$$(4.2) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial t} u = \Delta u - |\nabla u|^2 - u$$

and  $u > 0$ . Note that  $0 < f < 1$  is preserved as time  $t$  evolves. In fact the initial assumption says that

$$-\ln \sup_M f(x, 0) \leq u(x, 0) \leq -\ln \inf_M f(x, 0).$$

Applying the maximum principle to (4.2), we have

$$-\ln \sup_M f(x, 0) e^{-t} \leq u(x, t) \leq -\ln \inf_M f(x, 0) e^{-t}$$

and hence

$$0 < u(x, t) \leq -\ln \inf_M f(x, 0)$$

for all  $x \in M$  and  $t \in [0, T)$ . Since  $u = -\ln f$ , this implies

$$0 < \inf_M f(x, 0) \leq f(x, t) < 1$$

for all  $x \in M$  and  $t \in [0, T)$ .

Following the arguments of X. Cao and R. Hamilton's paper [7], we let

$$H = |\nabla u|^2 - \frac{u}{t}.$$

Comparing with the equation (5.3) in the same reference, we have

$$(4.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} H &= \Delta H - 2\nabla H \cdot \nabla u - \frac{1}{t} H - 2|\nabla \nabla u|^2 - 2|\nabla u|^2 + \frac{u}{t} \\ &= \Delta H - 2\nabla H \cdot \nabla u - \left( \frac{1}{t} + 1 \right) H - 2|\nabla \nabla u|^2 - |\nabla u|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that if  $t$  small enough, then  $H < 0$ . Then applying the maximum principle to (4.3), we obtain:

**Theorem 4.1.** *Let  $(M, g(t))$ ,  $t \in [0, T)$ , be a solution to the Ricci flow on a closed manifold. Let  $f < 1$  be a positive solution to the nonlinear heat equation (4.1),  $u = -\ln f$  and*

$$H = |\nabla u|^2 - \frac{u}{t}.$$

Then, for all time  $t \in (0, T)$ ,

$$H \leq 0.$$

*Remark 4.2.* Theorem 4.1 can be regarded as a nonlinear version of X. Cao and R. Hamilton's result (see Theorem 5.1 in [7]). Recently, L. Ma has proved the same estimate as in Theorem 4.1 on a closed manifold with nonnegative Ricci curvature under the static metric (see Theorem 3 in [34]). However, in our case, we do not need any curvature assumption.

On the other hand, we can also consider the positive solution  $f(x, t) < 1$  to the nonlinear backward heat equation without any potential

$$(4.4) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial t} f = -\Delta f + f \ln f,$$

with the metric evolved by the Ricci flow (1.4). Let  $u = -\ln f$ . Then we have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} u = \Delta u - |\nabla u|^2 - u$$

and  $u > 0$ . Using the maximum principle, one can see that  $0 < f < 1$  is also preserved under the Ricci flow. In fact from the initial assumption

$$0 < \inf_M f(x, T) \leq f(x, T) \leq \sup_M f(x, T) < 1,$$

one can also show that

$$0 < \inf_M f(x, T) \leq f(x, \tau) < 1$$

for all  $x \in M$  and  $\tau \in (0, T]$  in the same way as the above arguments.

Following the arguments of X. Cao's paper [6], let

$$H = |\nabla u|^2 - \frac{u}{\tau}.$$

Comparing with the equation (5.3) in [6], we have

$$(4.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} H &= \Delta H - 2\nabla H \cdot \nabla u - \frac{1}{\tau} H - 2|\nabla \nabla u|^2 - 4R_{ij}u_i u_j - 2|\nabla u|^2 + \frac{u}{\tau} \\ &= \Delta H - 2\nabla H \cdot \nabla u - \left( \frac{1}{\tau} + 1 \right) H - 2|\nabla \nabla u|^2 - 4R_{ij}u_i u_j - |\nabla u|^2. \end{aligned}$$

If we assume  $R_{ij}(g(t)) \geq -K$ , where  $0 \leq K \leq \frac{1}{4}$ , then

$$-4R_{ij}u_i u_j - |\nabla u|^2 \leq (4K - 1)|\nabla u|^2 \leq 0.$$

Hence if  $\tau$  small enough, then  $H < 0$ . Then applying the maximum principle to (4.5), we have a nonlinear version of X. Cao's result (see Theorem 5.1 in [6]).

**Theorem 4.3.** *Let  $(M, g(t))$ ,  $t \in [0, T]$ , be a solution to the Ricci flow on a closed manifold with the Ricci curvature satisfying  $R_{ij}(g(t)) \geq -K$ , where  $0 \leq K \leq \frac{1}{4}$ . Let  $f < 1$  be a positive solution to the nonlinear backward heat equation (4.4),  $u = -\ln f$ ,  $\tau = T - t$  and*

$$H = |\nabla u|^2 - \frac{u}{\tau}.$$

*Then, for all time  $t \in [0, T]$ ,*

$$H \leq 0.$$

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author would like to express his gratitude to the referee for careful readings and many valuable suggestions.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] B. Andrews, Harnack inequalities for evolving hypersurfaces, *Math. Zeit.*, 217 (1994), 179-197.
- [2] D.G. Aronson, P. B enilan, R egularit e des solutions de l' equation des milieux poreux dans  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris. S er. A-B* 288 (1979), A103-A105.
- [3] M. Bailesteanu, X.-D. Cao, A. Pulemotov, Gradient estimates for the heat equation under the Ricci flow, *J. Funct. Anal.*, 258 (2010), 3517-3542.
- [4] H.-D. Cao, On Harnack's inequalities for the K ahler-Ricci flow, *Invent. Math.*, 109 (1993), 247-263.
- [5] H.-D. Cao, L. Ni, Matrix Li-Yau-Hamilton estimates for the heat equation on K ahler manifolds, *Math. Ann.*, 331 (2005), 795-807.
- [6] X.-D. Cao, Differential Harnack estimates for backward heat equations with potentials under the Ricci flow, *J. Funct. Anal.*, 255 (2008), 1024-1038.
- [7] X.-D. Cao, R. S. Hamilton, Differential Harnack estimates for time-dependent heat equations with potentials, *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, 19 (2009), 989-1000.
- [8] X.-D. Cao, Z. Zhang, Differential Harnack estimates for parabolic equations, *Proceedings of Complex and Differential Geometry*, 87-98, 2011.
- [9] X.-D. Cao, Qi S. Zhang, The conjugate heat equation and ancient solutions of the Ricci flow, *Adv. Math.*, 228 (5) (2011), 2891-2919.
- [10] A. Chau, L.-F. Tam, C.-J. Yu, Pseudolocality for the Ricci flow and applications, *Canad. J. Math.*, 63 (2011), 55-85.
- [11] H.-B. Cheng, A new Li-Yau-Hamilton estimate for the Ricci flow, *Comm. Anal. Geom.*, 14 (2006), 551-564.
- [12] L. Chen, W.-Y. Chen, Gradient estimates for a nonlinear parabolic equation on complete non-compact Riemannian manifolds, *Ann. Global Anal. Geom.*, 35 (2009), 397-404.
- [13] B. Chow, The Ricci flow on the 2-sphere, *J. Differential Geom.*, 33 (1991), 325-334.
- [14] B. Chow, On Harnack's inequality and entropy for the Gaussian curvature flow, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 44 (1991), 469-483.
- [15] B. Chow, The Yamabe flow on locally conformally at manifolds with positive Ricci curvature, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 45 (1992), 1003-1014.
- [16] B. Chow, Interpolating between Li-Yau's and Hamilton's Harnack inequalities on a surface, *J. Partial Differ. Equ.*, 11 (1998), 137-140.
- [17] B. Chow, S. C. Chu, D. Glickenstein, C. Guentheretc, J. Isenberg, T. Ivey, D. Knopf, P. Lu, F. Luo, L. Ni, The Ricci Flow: Techniques and Applications. Part III. Geometric-Analytic Aspects. *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs* 163, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2010.
- [18] B. Chow, R. Hamilton, Constrained and linear Harnack inequalities for parabolic equations, *Invent. Math.*, 129 (1997), 213-238.
- [19] B. Chow, D. Knopf, New Li-Yau-Hamilton inequalities for the Ricci flow via the space-time approach, *J. Diff. Geom.*, 60 (2002), 1-54.
- [20] B. Chow, P. Lu, L. Ni, Hamilton's Ricci flow, *Lectures in Contemporary Mathematics* 3, Science Press and American Mathematical Society, 2006.



- [21] F. R. K. Chung, S.-T. Yau, Logarithmic Harnack inequalities, *Math. Res. Lett.*, 3 (1996), 793-812.
- [22] C. M. Guenther, The fundamental solution on manifolds with time-dependent metrics, *J. Geom. Anal.*, 12 (2002), 425-436.
- [23] R. S. Hamilton, The Ricci flow on surfaces, *Contemp. Math.* 71 (1988), 237-262, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI.
- [24] R. S. Hamilton, The Harnack estimate for the Ricci flow, *J. Diff. Geom.*, 37 (1993), 225-243.
- [25] R. S. Hamilton, A matrix Harnack estimate for the heat equation, *Comm. Anal. Geom.*, 1 (1993), 113-126.
- [26] R. S. Hamilton, The Harnack estimate for the mean curvature flow, *J. Diff. Geom.*, 41 (1995), 215-226.
- [27] S.-Y. Hsu, Gradient estimates for a nonlinear parabolic equation under Ricci flow, 24 (2011), *Differential Integral Equations*, 645-652.
- [28] G.-Y. Huang, B.-Q. Ma, Gradient estimates for a nonlinear parabolic equation on Riemannian manifolds, *Arch. Math.*, 94 (2010), 265-275.
- [29] S.-L. Kuang, Qi S. Zhang, A gradient estimate for all positive solutions of the conjugate heat equation under Ricci flow, *J. Funct. Anal.*, 255 (2008), 1008-1023.
- [30] J.-F. Li, X.-J. Xu, Differential Harnack inequalities on Riemannian manifolds I: Linear heat equation, *Adv. Math.*, 226 (5) (2011), 4456-4491.
- [31] P. Li, S.-T. Yau, On the parabolic kernel of the Schrodinger operator, *Acta Math.*, 156 (1986), 153-201.
- [32] S.-P. Liu, Gradient estimate for solutions of the heat equation under Ricci flow, *Pacific J. Math.*, 243 (2009) 165-180.
- [33] L. Ma, Gradient estimates for a simple elliptic equation on non-compact Riemannian manifolds, *J. Funct. Anal.*, 241 (2006), 374-382.
- [34] L. Ma, Hamilton type estimates for heat equations on manifolds, (2010), arXiv: math.DG/1009.0603v1.
- [35] L. Ma, Gradient estimates for a simple nonlinear heat equation on manifolds, (2010), arXiv: math.DG/1009.0604v1.
- [36] L. Ni, A matrix Li-Yau-Hamilton estimate for Kähler-Ricci flow, *J. Diff. Geom.*, 75 (2007), 303-358.
- [37] G. Perelman, The entropy formula for the Ricci flow and its geometric applications, (2002), arXiv:math.DG/0211159v1.
- [38] J.-Y. Wu, Gradient estimates for a nonlinear diffusion equation on complete manifolds, *J. Partial Differ. Equ.*, 23 (2010), 68-79.
- [39] J.-Y. Wu, Li-Yau type estimates for a nonlinear parabolic equation on complete manifolds, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 369 (2010) 400-407.
- [40] J.-Y. Wu, Y. Zheng, Interpolating between constrained Li-Yau and Chow-Hamilton Harnack inequalities on a surface, *Arch. Math.*, 94 (2010), 591-600.
- [41] Y.-Y. Yang, Gradient estimates for a nonlinear parabolic equation on Riemannian manifold, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 136 (2008), 4095-4102.
- [42] S.-T. Yau, On the Harnack inequalities of partial differential equations, *Comm. Anal. Geom.*, 2 (1994), 431-430.
- [43] S.-T. Yau, Harnack inequality for non-self-adjoint evolution equations, *Math. Res. Lett.*, 2 (1995), 387-399.
- [44] Qi S. Zhang, Some gradient estimates for the heat equation on domains and for an equation by Perelman, *Int. Math. Res. Not.*, Art. ID 92314, pp 1-39, 2006.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SHANGHAI MARITIME UNIVERSITY, HAIGANG AVENUE 1550,  
SHANGHAI 201306, P. R. CHINA

*E-mail address:* jyw81@yahoo.com